

7 Ingram Street
South Hadley, MA 01075
June 26,2007

Elizabeth French
U. S. District Court
1550 Main Street
Springfield, MA 01103

Re: Iheanyi D. Okoroafor vs. Dept. of Mental Health et al

Dear Elizabeth,

I hereby write in response to your letter of June 25,2007 in which you claim that the court was informed in writing on June 28,2006 according to your letter that the case has been resolved or settled. The problem with your claim is that the one who advised you of the so-called settlement in writing was the Defendants' Attorney without the Plaintiffs Attorney's confirmation in writing and for that reason, commonsense would help one know that the whole claim was a sham. Again, I would expect the court to demand the terms of the settlement before dismissing the case so quickly as a procedure. In my last settlement agreement with the Dept. of Mental Health, every one, including the Plaintiff and the Defendants along with their attorneys signed before it became a legal document. Why did the court not demand such a requirement before jumping to such a quick action as though the court could not wait to dismiss the case as it did?

Now that I just realized the act of "obstruction of justice" committed through conspiracy by the Commonwealth Attorney General's office and the Attorney who was supposed to be representing me and by reporting the matter to the court I expected the court to be enraged about such practice which amounts to "betrayal of trust of a client to cause him to lose the case." I hereby categorically claim that there have been unusual and strange events and proceedings that have taken place in this matter to suggest corrupt practices, which can only be uncovered by re-opening the case. Does it not bother the court to learn that those who are charged with the responsibility of upholding the law are the very people who are perverting it? Again I want to know why the court should not take seriously the charge that a licensed attorney willfully withheld information vital to the outcome of a case from a client and you are telling me that you have sent a copy of my letter to the attorney in question. For what purpose are you sending him a copy of my letter? I want to know what Judge Michael A. Ponsor is saying about my request to re-open the case forthwith? There need to be accountability in this serious charge, which affects the office of Attorney General of the Commonwealth, which is a challenge to the integrity of our justice system.

I therefore demand immediate re-opening of this case in the interest of justice. For the fact that the court was lied to since the Plaintiff in this case is claiming that he did not have any knowledge of the said settlement, I believe the court has a responsibility to bring these Attorneys that engaged in perjury to account for their misrepresentation of facts in the matter.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Thank you for your cooperation

Sincerely,

Daniel I. Okoroafor

Cc: Attorney-General Martha Coakley
Judge Michael A. Ponsor